

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
07/012,205	2/06/87	C# U	CIP. 80527

EXAMINER D.L. Albrecht PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 115

DATE MAILED:
EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):
(1) Dr. Ching-Wu Chy Mr. James B. Gambrell
1) Dr. Ching-Wu Chu Chu (3) Mr. James B. Gambrell (2) Mr. Charles M. Cox (Nath (4) Dennis L. Albrecht
Date of interview 12-2-87 (5) Paul Liebermann
Type: ☐ Telephonic 🙀 Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant 🖼 applicant's representative).
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:
Agreement  was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question.
Claims discussed:
Identification of prior art discussed: Atticles by Nguyen, Michel & Shaplygin
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Examines
indicated he would soon issue a supplemental office
Action with the above newly cited references used
to reject some of the claims.
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)
Unless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1–7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.
☐ Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action.
Dennis L. albra At
PTOLATA / PEV 1 94)

PTOL-413 (REV. 1-84)

Γ

**DENNIS ALBRECHT** PRIMARY EXAMINER **ART UNIT 115**